



**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

1765  
RECEIVED  
MAY 30 2003  
GROUP 1765

In re Application of: Kouvetakis et al.

Serial No.: 09/981,024

Examiner: Anderson, Matthew A.

Filed: October 16, 2001

Group Art Unit: 1765

TITLE: **Low Temperature Epitaxial Growth of Quaternary Wide Bandgap Semiconductors**

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 1.8**

I hereby certify that the following documents are being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

1. Response to Official Action (2 pages); and
2. A return receipt postcard.

Date:

5/23/03

By:

Suzanne Shields  
Suzanne Shields

GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.A.  
2575 East Camelback Road  
Phoenix, AZ 80516-9225  
(602) 530-8000 phone  
(602) 530-8500 fax



Agency Docket No. 9138-0064C1P

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

EXEMPT  
MAY 30 2003  
GHOU

#17  
wa  
6/2/03

In re Application of: Kouvetakis et al. :

Serial No.: 09/981,024 : Examiner: Anderson, Matthew A.

Filing Date: October 16, 2001 : Art Unit: 1765

Title: **Low Temperature Epitaxial Growth of Quaternary Wide Bandgap Semiconductors**

**RESPONSE TO OFFICIAL ACTION**

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Responsive to the Official Action dated April 30, 2003, applicant hereby elects the claims of Group I, claims 1 - 11, 14 - 20 and 34, of this application for immediate further examination.

It is respectfully requested that the examiner reconsider and withdraw the outstanding Restriction Requirement. Applicant urges that, at least as respects Groups I, II and III, the examiner's search for any one of the three groups of claims, to be complete, would largely overlap if not be entirely coextensive with searches for the subject matter of the other two groups of claims.

Applicant is a non-profit educational institution. The five-way restriction increases applicant's costs significantly. It will also result in duplicative and unnecessary additional work by the Patent and Trademark Office examiner corps and clerical personnel, it is believed.


Consequently, withdrawal of the restriction requirement would benefit applicant and the Patent and Trademark Office, applicant believes.

Respectfully submitted,

Date:

5/23/03

By:



Thomas D. MacBlain  
Attorneys for Applicant  
Reg. No. 24,583

Gallagher & Kennedy, P.A.  
2575 East Camelback Road  
Phoenix, AZ 85016  
(602) 530-8088  
[tdm@gknet.com](mailto:tdm@gknet.com)